



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1459
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/914,537	12/13/2001	Gerhard J Bleys	P 282804/EUR	8094

7590 06/01/2004

Pillsbury Winthrop LLP
1600 Tysons Boulevard
McLean, VA 22102

EXAMINER

SERGEANT, RABON A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1711

DATE MAILED 06/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

053004

DATE MAILED

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.


Commissioner for Patents

The reply filed on November 26, 2003 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): Firstly, applicants' amendment fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.121. Claim 14 has been amended; however, the amendments have not been properly marked and an incorrect status identifier has been used (It is noted that the claim dependency has been omitted and the text of the amended claim does not make sense). Secondly, applicants have failed to provide an adequate response to the rejection pertaining to how "65% by weight" relates to "a variant thereof". Though claim 15 has been cancelled, this language now appears within new claim 21. See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be *bona fide*, applicant is given **ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. **EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).**

Additionally, the following issues have been noted and will be addressed in the next Office action, if not addressed in response to this letter. Firstly, the language of item 4 of claim 16 is not proper and cannot be clearly understood. Secondly, within claim 21, though applicants have stated that the polyisocyanate is used in excess relative to the polyol, applicants have failed to state the type of excess (i.e.; weight, molar, stoichiometric, etc.).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergeant at telephone number (571) 272-1079.

R. Sergeant
May 30, 2004


Rabon Sergeant
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 1711